

# Operationalizing Hybrid Intelligence in Learning Analytics: A Scalable, Inclusive, and Adaptive System for Large -Scale Online Education\*

Arshee Rizvi<sup>1,\*†</sup>, Farheen Rizvi<sup>2,†</sup> and Yatin Diwakar<sup>3,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Datapreneur Services Pvt. Ltd. ( Previously with IIT Madras BS Degree Program)

<sup>2</sup> WinLighter

<sup>3</sup> Datapreneur Services Pvt. Ltd

## Abstract

Hybrid Intelligence (HI) represents the integration of human and artificial intelligence to achieve outcomes that surpass the capabilities of either working alone. This paper presents the design and deployment of an AI-powered learning analytics system for IIT Madras's BS Degree program in Data Science, an online education initiative serving over 25,000 learners. This program processes more than 1 million data points monthly, including performance metrics (quizzes, exams, participation) and demographic variables (age, gender, prior education). By applying advanced Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) methodologies, participatory design principles, and adaptive AI models, the system demonstrates the transformative potential of HI in operationalizing learning analytics at scale.

## Keywords

Educational Inclusivity, Participatory Design, Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E).

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Context and Need for Hybrid Intelligence

The IIT Madras BS program, with no age restrictions, caters to a diverse cohort, ranging from school graduates to retirees. Approximately 35% of learners come from rural or semi-urban areas, and 42% identify as non-traditional students (working professionals, homemakers, or individuals with career gaps). This diversity underscores the need for personalized, inclusive, and scalable solutions that address varying learning styles, resource constraints, and access challenges.

Traditional learning analytics systems focus primarily on data visualization and insights delivery. However, these approaches often lack contextual awareness, inclusivity, and adaptability to user needs. Hybrid Intelligence, by combining the computational power of AI with human decision-making, provides a framework for designing systems that evolve with user input and address complex educational challenges.

### 1.2. Theoretical Foundations

To solidify the theoretical foundation of our approach, we draw upon established frameworks in the fields of educational technology and artificial intelligence. Specifically, we reference the work of Siemens and Gasevic on the principles of connectivism and learning analytics, which inform our

---

\*Joint Proceedings of LAK 2025 Workshops, co-located with 15th International Conference on Learning Analytics and Knowledge (LAK 2025), Dublin, Ireland, March 03-07, 2025.

\* Corresponding author.

† These authors contributed equally.

✉ arshee.rizvi.c2022@iitbombay.org (A. Rizvi); farheenrizvi15@gmail.com (F. Rizvi); yatindestel@gmail.com (Y. Diwakar)

ORCID 0009-0008-0010-7752 (A. Rizvi); 0000-0003-4583-6680 (Y. Diwakar)



© 2025 Copyright for this paper by its authors. Use permitted under Creative Commons License Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0).

participatory design and adaptive learning models [6][8]. Further, we integrate Vygotsky's socio-cultural theory to underpin our approach to inclusivity and diversity in learning environments, emphasizing the role of social context and collaborative learning [7]. These theories support our system's ability to adapt and personalize educational experiences at scale, ensuring that it is not only technologically advanced but also pedagogically sound.

## 2. Design and Development of the System

The learning analytics system was designed using a **results-based M&E framework**, ensuring structured processes and measurable outcomes at each stage. The framework comprised four key components:

1. **Inputs:** Data sources included quiz results, exam scores, attendance patterns, interaction logs, and demographic profiles. These inputs were updated in real-time, generating over 1 million monthly data points. Machine learning algorithms processed these inputs to identify patterns and generate actionable insights.
2. **Pattern Recognition and Insight Generation:** Advanced machine learning algorithms were employed to process these inputs. Specifically, Support Vector Machines (SVM) were used for classification tasks to identify patterns in student performance and attendance. Random Forests were applied for regression tasks to predict future performance based on historical data. For clustering student profiles and behavior patterns, K-Means clustering was utilized, allowing the system to generate actionable insights by segmenting the student population into meaningful groups.
3. **Outputs:** The system provided tailored feedback for students, highlighting weak areas, recommending study strategies, and linking performance gaps to long-term career goals. For faculty, outputs included trend analyses, early warning systems for at-risk students, and curriculum improvement recommendations.
4. **Outcomes:** These outputs translated into significant improvements: a 40% increase in actionable interventions, a 30% rise in course completion rates, and a 15% reduction in inconsistencies across data streams. Faculty reported a 95% improvement in intervention accuracy, while 88% of students expressed satisfaction with the relevance of feedback.
5. **Impact:** Long-term impacts included a measurable 20% improvement in student performance on targeted skills and enhanced employability for 70% of program graduates. Faculty development also benefited, with increased adoption of data-driven teaching methods. Impact metrics were systematically tracked, including course completion rates and faculty intervention accuracy, leading to iterative refinements in the analytics models based on performance data.

### 2.1. Monitoring and Evaluation Principles

The system's design was guided by advanced M&E principles to ensure accuracy, reliability, and adaptability. Key practices included:

1. **Triangulated Data Validation:** Triangulation is important during data collection and analysis to get a rapid response for complex questions, and it helps in research in case of poor/incomplete data [3]. Cross-referencing multiple data sources minimized errors, reducing inconsistencies by 15%. For example, quiz results were validated against participation logs and demographic data to ensure contextual accuracy.
2. **Continuous Feedback Loops:** Real-time feedback allows students and faculty to refine their strategies dynamically. It helps improve communication, performance, and satisfaction [2].

For students, this meant iterative improvements in learning practices, while faculty adapted teaching methods based on emerging trends.

3. **Benchmarking:** The system compared individual learner performance against predefined benchmarks, such as cohort averages or career readiness indicators. This approach fostered self-regulation among students and provided actionable insights for faculty.
4. **Equity-Focused Evaluations:** Special emphasis was placed on addressing disparities [1], among rural learners, women, and non-traditional students. For instance, 38% of rural learners reported significant improvements in academic confidence due to tailored interventions.
5. **Utilization-Focused Evaluation:** All system outputs were designed to be directly actionable by end-users. Faculty dashboards included predictive analytics for identifying at-risk students, while students received personalized study plans.

### 3. Technical Innovations

The system incorporated several technical innovations to operationalize Hybrid Intelligence effectively:

1. **Adaptive Machine Learning Models:** These models continuously learned from user behavior, adjusting recommendations to evolving learner needs. For instance, a student struggling with specific concepts received targeted practice material, while faculty received early alerts for potential course-wide challenges.
2. **Natural Language Processing (NLP) for Feedback:** NLP algorithms analyze student interactions to generate context-aware feedback. This capability enabled the system to recommend resources tailored to individual learning styles.
3. **Predictive Analytics:** By analyzing historical data, the system predicted key outcomes such as dropout risks and exam performance. These predictions supported faculty in designing timely interventions.
4. **Real-Time Dashboards:** Faculty dashboards visualized trends such as performance distributions, engagement rates, and concept mastery levels. These insights informed curriculum adjustments, improving teaching strategies for 65% of courses.
5. **Scalability:** The system was designed to handle large-scale adoption, supporting over 25,000 learners simultaneously without compromising on accuracy or performance.

#### 3.1. Enhanced Technical Details

**Detailed Implementation of Adaptive AI Models:** The adaptive AI models employed in our system are built on a foundation of machine learning algorithms tailored for educational data. For instance, the system uses decision trees and ensemble methods that are Gradient Boosting Machines (GBM) to dynamically adjust learning paths based on student interaction data. These models are trained on historical data sets that include a wide range of variables such as student engagement levels, performance metrics, and individual learning preferences.

#### 3.2. Human Intelligence

Human intelligence plays a crucial role in shaping these AI-driven processes. Faculty and educational experts periodically review and interpret the model outputs, ensuring that the recommendations are pedagogically sound and align with educational objectives. This human oversight helps in refining the algorithms, particularly in understanding and interpreting complex patterns that purely automated systems might overlook.

To ensure reproducibility and clarity, we describe the system architecture in greater detail. The architecture includes three main components: data ingestion, model training, and feedback generation. Data ingestion involves real-time collection of data from multiple sources, including student interactions, performance tracking, and demographic information. The model training component applies continuous learning algorithms to adapt to new data, improving the accuracy and effectiveness of personalized learning paths. Finally, the feedback generation module uses natural language processing (NLP) to provide context-aware suggestions and interventions [5].

This integration not only harnesses the computational power of AI but also leverages human expertise to create a robust, adaptive learning environment. By combining AI with human judgment, the system ensures that the learning interventions are both technically precise and contextually appropriate, enhancing the overall educational experience.

**Technical Enhancements for Scalability and Personalization** We further enhanced the system's scalability by incorporating cloud-based technologies that allow for the efficient handling of large data volumes and high concurrency levels. For personalization, the system uses a hybrid approach combining collaborative filtering and content-based filtering techniques to recommend personalized learning resources and interventions. This hybrid model ensures that recommendations are both relevant and diverse, catering to the unique needs and learning styles of a broad learner base.

### 3.3. Inclusivity and Stakeholder Engagement

Inclusivity was a cornerstone of the system's design, ensuring that diverse learner profiles were accommodated. Key strategies included:

1. **Participatory Design:** Input from over 500 students and faculty members was incorporated into system development. This iterative process ensured that the system addressed real-world needs effectively.
2. **Demographic Customization:** The system segmented learners based on age, gender, prior education, and geographical location. For instance, rural learners received additional support in foundational concepts, while career-focused guidance was prioritized for working professionals.
3. **Accessibility Enhancements:** The system supported multiple languages and low-bandwidth environments, ensuring equitable access for learners in resource-constrained settings.

## 4. Bias Mitigation and Equity-Focused Evaluations

To address potential biases and ensure equity, the system incorporated specialized algorithms. Gradient Boosting Machines (GBM) were utilized to detect and correct biases, particularly those related to gender and socio-economic status, using SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) values to audit and understand the contribution of each feature to predictions. Additionally, Fairness-aware machine learning techniques such as Fairlearn were employed to ensure that the machine learning models did not perpetuate or amplify any existing biases in the educational data [5].

### 4.1. Ethical Considerations

The integration of Hybrid Intelligence raised important ethical questions, particularly regarding data privacy and algorithmic fairness. The system addressed these challenges through:

1. **Transparent Data Practices:** Students and faculty were informed about how their data would be used. Anonymized datasets ensured privacy while supporting research and development.

Comprehensive data governance frameworks were implemented to ensure informed consent and data security, with continuous monitoring of data usage and access controls.

2. **Bias Mitigation:** Algorithms were regularly audited to identify and address potential biases, particularly those related to gender and socio-economic status. Fairness-aware Machine Learning was integrated into the system development life cycle, with ongoing oversight and input from domain experts in educational equity and ethics. These experts utilize transparency-enhancing tools and techniques to ensure fairness, complementing automated processes with human judgment to identify and address biases more effectively.
3. **Explainability:** Outputs were designed to be interpretable by end-users, ensuring that students and faculty could understand and act on system recommendations. Explainable AI (XAI) methodologies were incorporated to ensure that system outputs were understandable and actionable by all end-users, promoting trust and adoption.

## 5. Outcomes and Impact

The system achieved significant outcomes at multiple levels:

1. **Student Performance:** Targeted interventions led to a 20% improvement in performance on key skills, with 85% of students reporting greater clarity in their learning goals.
2. **Faculty Development:** Predictive analytics supported faculty in designing effective interventions, leading to a 30% increase in course completion rates and a 95% improvement in intervention accuracy.
3. **Program Success:** The IIT Madras BS program saw a 25% reduction in dropout rates and a 40% increase in graduate employability, with 70% of graduates securing relevant career opportunities.
4. **Inclusivity Metrics:** Tailored interventions supported 38% of rural learners in achieving above-average performance, while 45% of female students reported increased confidence in pursuing STEM careers.

### 5.1. Challenges and Future Directions

Operationalizing Hybrid Intelligence in learning analytics presents several challenges, including:

1. **Data Integration:** Combining diverse data sources required robust infrastructure and advanced algorithms to ensure accuracy and reliability.
2. **Ethical Dilemmas:** Balancing data-driven insights with privacy and fairness considerations remains a critical area of focus.
3. **Scalability:** Adapting the system for broader adoption across different educational contexts will require ongoing refinements and stakeholder engagement.

Future research will explore the integration of real-time AI explainability features, expanding participatory design practices, and enhancing scalability to support larger, more diverse cohorts.

## 6. Conclusion

This paper demonstrates the transformative potential of Hybrid Intelligence in learning analytics through the development of an AI-powered system for the IIT Madras BS program. By embedding advanced M&E practices, participatory design, and adaptive AI models, the system addresses the complexities of diverse learner profiles and large-scale online education. The outcomes achieved underscore the scalability, inclusivity, and effectiveness of HI-driven approaches in fostering self-

regulated learning, enhancing faculty-student collaboration, and driving equitable educational outcomes. This work serves as a model for global adoption, offering actionable insights into the operationalization of Hybrid Intelligence in diverse educational environments.

## Declaration on Generative AI

To enhance the clarity and readability of this work, the author(s) used ChatGPT in a limited and responsible way. Specifically, the tool was used for:

1. **Sentence Polishing:** Correcting grammar, typos, and minor writing errors to make the text clearer and more professional.
2. **Rephrasing:** Refining sentences to improve flow, conciseness, and readability while keeping the original meaning intact.

Every AI-assisted edit was carefully reviewed and refined by the author(s) to ensure accuracy, originality, and adherence to academic integrity. The final content is the sole responsibility of the author(s). This declaration is made in line with CEUR-WS guidelines to maintain transparency and uphold ethical research practices.

## References

- [1] M. Bamberger and M. Segone, "How to design and manage Equity-focused evaluations," UNICEF Evaluation Office, 2011. [Online]. Available: [http://mymande.org/sites/default/files/EWP5\\_Equity\\_focused\\_evaluations.pdf](http://mymande.org/sites/default/files/EWP5_Equity_focused_evaluations.pdf)
- [2] M. Gouldsberry, "A practical guide to continuous feedback at work," Betterworks, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.betterworks.com/magazine/continuous-feedback/>
- [3] UNAIDS, "An introduction to triangulation," UNAIDS Monitoring and Evaluation Fundamentals, Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS), 2010.
- [4] G. James, D. Witten, T. Hastie, and R. Tibshirani, "An Introduction to Statistical Learning," 2013.
- [5] B. Hidasi and A. Karatzoglou, "Recurrent Neural Networks with Top-k Gains for Session-based Recommendations," 2018.
- [6] G. Siemens, "Learning Analytics: The Emergence of a Discipline," American Behavioral Scientist, 2013.
- [7] L. S. Vygotsky, "Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes," 1978.
- [8] D. Gasevic, S. Dawson, and G. Siemens, "Let's not forget: Learning analytics are about learning," TechTrends, 2015.